

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Onited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. 1974 1450
Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450
www.usolu.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,914	11/26/2003	Israel Raz 132076UL (12553-102		1899
Dean D. Small	7590 02/01/2007	EXAMINER		
	ent Law Group LLP	MARTINEZ, DAVID E		
Ste. 1611 611 Olive Stre	et	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAINT LOUIS		2181		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MC	NTHS	02/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comment		10/7	22,914	RAZ, ISRAEL			
Office Action Summary			niner	Art Unit			
			d E. Martinez	2181			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE O 37 CFR 1.136(a). In cation. ory period will apply by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMU no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Management to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					•		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 October	2006				
2a)□		☐ This action		,	·		
3)□							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
		and an expans	J. Quay.o, 1000 C				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn fron	n consideration.				
5)□							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			•			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•	•		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or electi	on requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers		•				
ماره	The specification is objected to by the E	vaminer			•		
	The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 200		accepted or b)	hobicated to by the Evami	nor		
ובשולטו				- · ·	ner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection				DED 4 4047 IV		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examine	r. Note the aπacr	ied Office Action of form F	1O-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	foreign priority	y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action f	•	` ''	ot received.			
			•				
				•			

Attachmen	in the second of						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)				v Summary (PTO-413)			
2)			_	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	•		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/722,914

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/25/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 5-10 and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,023,343 to Hoang et al. (hereinafter Hoang) in view of US Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0084971A1 to Shukla et al. (hereinafter Shukla).

1. With regards to claims 1, 9 and 17, Hoang teaches a method for managing outputs to peripheral devices in medical systems devices, said method comprising:

providing an instruction to control a peripheral [fig 1 elements 24 and 26 send print jobs (data objects) to a printer element 10, column 6 lines 45-59];

creating a data object based on the instruction [fig 1 elements 24 and 26 send print jobs (data objects created by host elements 24 and 26 – "input devices") to a printer element 10, column 6 lines 45-61];

storing the data object in a second memory to be output to the peripheral device [fig 1 RAM memory element 34, column 7 lines 38-48, column 8 lines 3-7, lines 19-30, 47-55, column 3 lines 3-22] and

storing the data object in a first memory [fig 1, hard drive element 38] if the peripheral device [fig 1 element 36] is not available to accept the data object [column 7 lines 38-48, column 8 lines 3-7, lines 19-30, 47-55, column 3 lines 3-22], wherein the first memory [fig 1, hard drive element 38] stores the data object for a longer time period than a second memory [fig 1 RAM memory element 34].

Hoang teaches all of the above limitations but is silent as to performing the storing of the data in a first memory under the condition of "if the peripheral device is not accessible" (as per claims 1 and 17) or "if the peripheral device is not in an active state" (as per claim 9). However, Shukla teaches storing data in a first memory (a hard drive) if a peripheral device is not accessible or if a peripheral is not in an active state [fig 6 element 606 determines if the peripheral device (such as a printer) is accessible or active since by determining if a peripheral has enough energy to perform a task (if accessible or not) and also determines that the peripheral device is not in an active state (although it might be online or on, but not active as in printing a task/job), because the tasks that are checked if they can be performed, are in a task queue thus the device is not active with the tasks. In addition, fig 6 element 608 stores the task/job (a data object) in a first memory (such as a hard drive) – abstract, paragraph 25] for the benefit of scheduling the completion of a task/job/operation(on a data object) at a later time when the peripheral device is accessible [paragraph 6-8].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Hoang and Shukla to perform the storing the data object in a first memory if the peripheral device is not accessible (as per claims 1 and 17) and if the peripheral

Application/Control Number: 10/722,914

Art Unit: 2181

device is not in an active state (as per claim 9) for the benefit of scheduling the completion of a task/job/operation(on the data object) at a later time when the peripheral device is accessible [paragraph 6-8].

Furthermore, claim 1 above calls for the peripheral device being used in medical system devices. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the <u>structural</u> limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

With regards to claims 2, 10 and 18, Hoang teaches a method in accordance with claim
 further comprising:

determining whether the peripheral device is available to accept the data object []; and transferring the data object from the second memory [fig 1 RAM memory element 34] to the first memory [fig 1, hard drive element 38] upon determining that the peripheral device [fig 1 element 36] is not available [column 7 lines 38-48, column 8 lines 3-7, lines 19-30, 47-55, column 3 lines 3-22].

3. With regards to claims 5 and 13, Hoang teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein said providing the instruction to provide the output comprises one of [←Please Note the Alternative Language]:

instructing to print [fig 1 elements 24 and 26 send print jobs (data objects created by host elements 24 and 26 – "input devices") to a printer element 10, column 6 lines 45-61]; text, report, images,

instructing to record to a video cassette recorder;

instructing to electronically mail a copy of images to a remote location;

Application/Control Number: 10/722,914

Art Unit: 2181

instructing to create a copy of the images on one of a floppy disk, a magneto-optical disk, a CD, a DVD, a flash memory card, and a digital versatile disc; and

instructing to create a copy of a patient's information on the digital versatile disc.

4. With regards to claims 6 and 14, Hoang teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein said creating the data object based on the instructions comprises one of [←Please Note the Alternative Language]:

creating a first data object that instructs to print [fig 1 elements 24 and 26 send print jobs (data objects created by host elements 24 and 26 – "input devices") to a printer element 10, column 6 lines 45-61];

creating a second data object that instructs to record to a video cassette recorder; creating a third data object that instructs to electronically mail a copy of images to a remote location;

creating a fourth data object that instructs to create a copy of images on one of a floppy disk, a magneto-optical disk, and a digital versatile disc; and

creating a fifth data object that instructs to create a copy of a patient's information on the digital versatile disc.

5. With regards to claims 7 and 15, Hoang teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein said storing the data object in the first memory if the peripheral device that provides the output is not available to accept the data object comprises:

storing the data object in the first memory if the peripheral device that provides the output is at least one of deenergized and unoperational [column 7 lines 38-48, column 8 lines 3-7, lines 19-30, 47-55, column 3 lines 3-22].

6. With regards to claims 8 and 16, Hoang teaches a method in accordance with claim 1 wherein a processor is configured to create the data object based on the instructions and

wherein said storing the data object in the first memory if the peripheral device that provides the output is not available to accept the data object comprises: storing the data object in the first memory if the peripheral device that provides the output is operationally de-coupled from the processor [column 7 lines 38-48, column 8 lines 3-7, lines 19-30, 47-55, column 3 lines 3-22].

7. With further regards to claim 9, Hoang teaches an imaging system comprising:

a source for transmitting signals [fig 1 elements 24 and 26 send print jobs (data objects created by host elements 24 and 26 – "input devices") to a printer element 10, column 6 lines 45-61]; and

a processor operationally coupled to said source [fig 1 element 14], said processor configured to do the steps as claim 1 above and thus rejected under the same rationale.

8. With further regards to claim 11, Hoang teaches an imaging system in accordance with claim 9 wherein said processor is configured to perform one of:

automatically obtain the data object from said first memory [column 11 lines 25-39 and 50-63].

Claims 3, 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,023,343 to Hoang et al. (hereinafter Hoang) in view of US Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0084971A1 to Shukla et al. (hereinafter Shukla). and further in view of US Patent Application Publication No. US 2003/0053109 A1 to Lester et al. (hereinafter Lester).

9. With regards to claims 3, 11 and 19, Hoang and Shukla are silent as to enabling a user to access the data object from the first memory. However, Lester teaches enabling a user to access a data object from a memory for the benefit of having full control of the data at any time [figs 5 and 6, paragraphs 2, 30 and 31].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Hoang, Shukla and Lester to enable a user to access the data object from the first memory for the benefit of having full control of the data at any time [figs 5 and 6, paragraphs 2, 30 and 31].

Claims 4, 12 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,023,343 to Hoang et al. (hereinafter Hoang) in view of US Patent Application Publication No. US 2004/0084971A1 to Shukla et al. (hereinafter Shukla). and further in view of US Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0063880 A1 to Raney.

10. With regards to claims 4, 12 and 20, Hoang and Shukla are silent as to a method in accordance with claim 1 further comprising: acknowledging that the data object is received by the peripheral device if the data object is received by the peripheral device, however, teaches acknowledging that a data object is received by a peripheral device if the data object is received by the peripheral device for the benefit of providing important information to a user for the purpose of enabling the user to rectify and avoid problems [paragraphs 25, 6].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Hoang, Shukla and Raney to acknowledge that the data object is received by the peripheral device if the data object is received by the peripheral device for the benefit of providing important information to a user for the purpose of enabling the user to rectify and avoid problems [paragraphs 25, 6].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent Application No. US 2003/0051050 A1 to Adelaide et al. teaches the storing of a data object in a local memory when a processing unit is not available (not accessible and not active) see abstract and figure 2.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Martinez whose telephone number is (571) 272-4152. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 571-272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DEM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER